

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/511,344 | 05/23/2005 | Jan De Kroon | 4662-254 | 6496 |
| 23117 7590 97/28/2099 NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | HAIDER, SAIRA BANO | |
| ARLINGTON, VA 22203 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 07/28/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/511.344 DE KROON ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit SAIRA HAIDER 1796 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Saira Haider. (3) (2) Bryan H. Davidson. (4)____. Date of Interview: 23 July 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: None. Identification of prior art discussed: None. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments; Applicant's representative proposed a supplemental declaration to clarify that the film is formed via a blown film process (as exemplified), not the previously recited blow-molding. The specification and claims will be amended to reflect such correction. The examiner noted that the terminology modification did not appear to be new matter. The examiner agreed to consider all arguments and amendments presented. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

| Saira Halder/ | James J. Seidleck/ | Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796 | Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796 | U.S. Patent and Todosma Office